

Authority is at

## WIKIPEDIA

"The Seventeenth Amendment (Amendment XVII) to the United States Constitution established direct election of United States Senators by popular vote. The amendment supersedes Article I, Â§ 3, Clauses 1 and 2 of the Constitution, under which senators were elected by state legislatures. It also alters the procedure for filling vacancies in the Senate, allowing for state legislatures to permit their governors to make temporary appointments until a special election can be held. Under the original provisions of the Constitution, senators were elected by state legislatures; this was intended to ensure that the Federal government contained representatives of the states, and also to provide a body not dependent on popular support that could afford to "take a more detached view of issues coming before Congress." [1] However, over time various perceived issues with these provisions, such as the risk of corruption and the potential for electoral deadlocks or a lack of representation should a seat become vacant, led to a campaign for reform."

"Ralph A. Rossum, writing in the San Diego Law Review, notes that the debate over the amendment's adoption lacked "any serious or systematic considerations of its potential impact on federalism...The popular press, the party platforms, the state memorials, the House and Senate debates, and the state legislative debates during ratification focused almost exclusively on expanding democracy, eliminating political corruption, defeating elitism and freeing the states from what they had come to regard as an onerous and difficult responsibility. The only three exceptions were Root, Hoar and Representative Franklin Bartlett." [33] Ratification occurred in: [34]"

"By 1912, 239 political parties at both the state and national level had pledged some form of direct election, and 33 states had introduced the use of direct primaries. [28] 27 states had called for a constitutional convention on the subject, with 31 states needed to reach the threshold; Arizona and New Mexico each achieved statehood that year (bringing the total number of states to 48 [29]), and were expected to support the motion, while Alabama and Wyoming, already states, had passed resolutions in favor of a convention without formally calling for one. [30] To avoid a "runaway convention", in which unexpected or damaging amendments could be considered, the proposal to mandate direct elections for the Senate was finally introduced in the Congress; on June 12, 1911, it passed in the Senate by a vote of 64 to 24, with 4 not voting, and on May 13, 1912, passed in the House by a vote of 238 to 39, with 110 not voting. By April 8, 1913, the proposed amendment had been ratified by three-fourths of the states, [31] adding it to the Constitution. On May 31, 1913, Secretary of State William Jennings Bryan declared the amendment to have been adopted. [32]"

1) To include the Republican, Progressive party, and the Democratic Conventions to include the Socialist Party Conventions of 1912,, and the State Senate procedures of 1912 regarding the MONROE DOCTERINE.

and the Conventions of the several states regarding Arizona as a ( State sof the United States of America.

( Fax sent 9/24/2012,,17:04 to 1-202-663-3636,,,from 000L7J265204,,,it was the fax sent from BROE9J935650,, of 15 pages,,,13:23,,00:02:59,,,,sent to 1-202-663-3636,,  
THE additional text said ...

" FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION TRANSMIT TO STATE SUPREME COURTS..

Include United States Postal Service CPO12994595TR,,6/12/2012,,7:46 PM,,USFK-PRT-150535,,All documents are to be mailed to all Supreme Courts of the 50 States of the United States of America as a WRIT of Emancipation and or Independence of the Corporate Enity, and Petition for Complaint of Anti Trust, ORDER prayed for of Conviening of a State Senate(s) Convention pursuant to Conventions of 1912 for Authority to war World War I....( reference is the Monroe Docterine argued by the State Senate(s)

---NOTE , WRIT IS FOR ME, NOT ANY OTHER PARTY, NO IMPLICATIONS OF PROPERTY ISSUES, WRIT IS FOR THE CONVENTION---to conviene by JUDICAL ACT, in Camera, and or En Banc, and or Exparte Application,, and or By Operation of Law, and or by Custom and Usage, and or by Treaty or Compact, and or by Due Process, and or by Due Process of Law. and or by EXECUTIVE ORDER pursuant to the DOCTERINE of Trade or Traitor GATE,,

The PETITIONER HAS LITIGATED FOR 2 years in htis recent Litigation. And has not received only responses that require a reading of the 35 years of PRO PER litigation to understand what the JUDICIAL ACT of the COURT ment. And the person has to be a Doctor of laws in at least 7 areas of LAW.

THE DEFENDANTS have ACCESSORIES Before and after the fact, whom are supported by the United Kingdom. These Accessories SHOULD BE IDENTIFIED under the Vexatious Litigant Statue. ( example is Mel Gibson ) who is under the Vexatious Litigant Statues, because he sued me about my Companys and Businesses so many times. That is what the United Kingdom has done for 35 years. Becasue the United Kingdom could not live with the COURT ORDER from the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.,,The United Kingdom Could not live with the COURT ORDER from the United States Court of Appeals 7th Circuit I as a pro per obtaine,, not the Original OPINNIONS of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Turkey, and the 7th Circuit and the Supreme Court of the United States of America,, 5 Times this issue when to ( JEAPARDY) and it was the United Kingdom that just didnot obey the Supreme Court of the Untied States of America that I Danny M. Wells had to take them to the 7th Circuit asa Pro Per ( I lived at 1318 East Adams Street Springfield Illinois,, and I won the Case.

The ISSUES where not justifiably put in issue ( the Defendnat Counsel was Herzog Fisher Flame and Grayson) of Springfield Illinois, and when I impeached the US Distrcit Court Judge as a Pro Per and won the case by a the Supreme Court of the United States of America Denial of the Writ of Cert, I won the case by the Opininn of the 7th Circuit Court,,then the Record was sealed and classified.

This was prior to 1983,,

Since 1983 I have filed in USDC about every 7 to 10 years, Because of the United Kingdom.

Therefore the UK is to be a Vexatious Litigant,,,this litigation is UNILATERAL,, and the Defendant is the United States Department of the Interior, whom had not seved on me an answer to the AMENDED COMPLAINT, nor responded to motions, and WHAT CAN THEY SAY, if they answer I have the Federal Communications Commission to Rely on, as well as forgein FCC agency's,, When they validated the Corporate Manifest , the next question is where is my physical control of the ONE Billion Dollars I want from Bank of America, and whay is it that my anual income has never been over 39,000.00 per year, for 2 years and less than that prior to that totaling less than 300,000.00 for 47 years of life, and I have ownership of all these companies and properties,,,

I Danny M. Wells swear and Affirm Under Penalty of Perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true,,

9/25/2012

From Danny M. Wells

1646 Cabrillo Ave # 17

Torrance California 90501

353565347

California Drivers License A6712910

The following LAW is a issue for the FAX machine I have used since 2010 to 2012 October Fax machine located at the EDD office Torrance California BROE9J935650

the FCC is to examine the phone line and other equiptment to determine if Fax messages Danny M. Wells sent by FAX BROE9J935650 were routed to any other COMMUNICATION DEVICE other than the intended dialed fax number Danny M. Wells dialed. The party in receipt is to be reported to the International Criminal Court, then the party is to be examined for communications to the FINANCIAL MARKETS,, to the REAL ESTATE MARKETS, to GOVERNMENT PERSON s ACTING IN MATTERS OF PRIVATE BUSINESS AFFFAIRS and that (incident is to be reported to the International Criminal Court). Parties communicating to Government Officials in an official COVERT capacity are to be told by the FCC for Danny M. Wells that they have to submit a report of the ASSETS of Danny M. Wells ::: to the United States Court of International Trade,:::to the United States Court of Appeals for the 2ND Circuit Appeallate Court::to the Supreme Court of the Republic of Turkey::to the International Justice Court :: to the Chamber of Commerce of Los Angeles County:::the report is to be submitted as a DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITIONs I have filed there and the reports are to be refered to as the ( Pendragon Enterprises Corporate Manifest government version culminated by investigation by FEDERAL OFFICERS)

To the Federal Communications Commission

see 47 USC 151, 154,,18 USC 1341,,,18 USC 666,,\$& CFR 54.8,,47 CFR 0.111 or 6.111,,22 FCC

Rcd,,

The above is Jurisdiction for the FCC to

Approach all persons and parties to determine if a letter was mailed to me,,

All persons and parties are to mail to me a letter regarding the communications I have made every communication was to have a letter sent to me, about the documents e-mailed or FAXED .

2) the parties are to OTHERWISE explain to the FCC why no letter was mailed through the United States Mail,

3) The mailing of a letter verifies the truth of what was written by me to SAID party.

4) An omission to send a letter is deception, fraud, embezzlement or theft.

5) Omission to send United States Mail prevent additional defendants being identified in this Major Crimes allegation.

6) A letter would state the position of the party or person and establish if the person or party intends to lie. Commit perjury, subordinate perjury, or commit some other crime example COMPOUNDING A CRIME, Accessory after the fact,

7) Identifying additional defendants is the purpose of the FCC being complained to in this complaint, and from the FCC to establish an illegal intent of any kind in not sending a letter through the United States Mail,, Use of the Mail involves criminal authority to prosecute.

8 ) Use of the United States Mail to lie is a felony, Omission to act are also felonies.

9) So why is it that no person or party has sent a letter in regard to the attached document or Fax messages sent from BROE9J935650 between 2010 to 2012

10) pursuant to the following MOTION FILED below,, the FCC is to report all the persons and parties sent Documents referred to above to the International Criminal Court,,, and the report is to indicate if a person or a party received a document,, then the report is to say if the person or party sent a letter to Danny M. Wells, then the report is to say if after 3 business days the person or the party did not send a letter to Danny M. Wells, then the report has to say what the letter said that was sent to Danny M. Wells, then the report is to say what was excuse for not sending a letter at all to Danny M. Wells,,( Interpole Republic of Turkey ma assist you FCC

in support the FCC OIG with authority to question person in connection with crimes involving ESTATE, or the NYSE, AMEX, TSE, US SEC, are to note the Documents filed with the International Criminal Court,,and you have authorization to act from the owner of the FCC,, Mr Danny M. Wells 353565347,,,

addendum

11) the fax of BROE9J935650 faxes from 2010 to 2012 October sent by Danny M. Wells are to be tracked to determine if they were sent to KNOWN CRIMINAL ELEMENT, by any party,,,at any time,,, then the CRIMINAL ELEMENT is to be investigated from OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. A report is to be sent to the INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT. Interpole can verify the nature of a receipt of a fax Danny M. Wells sent

Jurisdiction is at 18 USC 119

## 18 USC Chapter 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION AND INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

There is 1 Update Pending. Select the tab below to view.

[USC-prelim](#)

[US Code](#)

[Notes](#)

[Updates](#)

This preliminary release may be subject to further revision before it is released again as a final version. As with other online versions of the Code, the U.S. Code Classification Tables should be consulted for the latest laws affecting the Code. Those using the USCPrelim should verify the text against the printed slip laws available from GPO (Government Printing Office), the laws as shown on THOMAS (a legislative service of the Library of Congress), and the final version of the Code when it becomes available.

Current through Pub. L. 112-123. (See Public Laws for the current Congress.)

§ 2510. Definitions

§ 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

§ 2512. Manufacture, distribution, possession, and advertising of wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting devices prohibited

§ 2513. Confiscation of wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting devices

[§ 2514. Repealed.]

§ 2515. Prohibition of use as evidence of intercepted wire or oral communications

§ 2516. Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

§ 2517. Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications

§ 2518. Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

§ 2519. Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications

§ 2520. Recovery of civil damages authorized

§ 2521. Injunction against illegal interception

§ 2522. Enforcement of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act

[prev](#) | [next](#)

§ 2510. Definitions

§ 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

§ 2512. Manufacture, distribution, possession, and advertising of wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting devices prohibited

§ 2513. Confiscation of wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting devices

[§ 2514. Repealed.]

§ 2515. Prohibition of use as evidence of intercepted wire or oral communications

§ 2516. Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

§ 2517. Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire, oral, or electronic

communications

Â§â€2518. Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications

Â§â€2519. Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or electronic communications

Â§â€2520. Recovery of civil damages authorized

Â§â€2521. Injunction against illegal interception

Â§â€2522. Enforcement of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act

Sec.2510.Definitions.2511.Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications

prohibited.2512.Manufacture, distribution, possession, and advertising of wire, oral, or electronic

communication intercepting devices prohibited.2513.Confiscation of wire, oral, or electronic

communication intercepting devices.[2514.Repealed.]2515.Prohibition of use as evidence of

intercepted wire or oral communications.2516.Authorization for interception of wire, oral, or electronic

communications.2517.Authorization for disclosure and use of intercepted wire, oral, or electronic

communications.2518.Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or electronic

communications.2519.Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or electronic

communications.2520.Recovery of civil damages authorized.2521.Injunction against illegal

interception.2522.Enforcement of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act.

Amendments

1994â€”Pub. L. 103â€”414, title II, Â§ 201(b)(3),Oct. 25, 1994, 108 Stat. 4290, added item 2522.

1988â€”Pub. L. 100â€”690, title VII, Â§ 7035,Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4398, substituted â€œwire,  
oral, or electronicâ€” for â€œwire or oralâ€” in items 2511, 2512, 2513, 2516, 2517, 2518, and 2519.

1986â€”Pub. L. 99â€”508, title I, Â§Â§ 101(c)(2), 110(b),Oct. 21, 1986, 100 Stat. 1851, 1859,  
inserted â€œAND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONSâ€” in chapter heading and added item 2521.

1970â€”Pub. L. 91â€”452, title II, Â§ 227(b),Oct. 15, 1970, 84 Stat. 930, struck out item 2514

â€œImmunity of witnessesâ€”, which section was repealed four years following the sixtieth day after  
Oct. 15, 1970.

1968â€”Pub. L. 90â€”351, title III, Â§ 802,June 19, 1968, 82 Stat. 212, added chapter 119 and items  
2510 to 2520.

The table below lists the classification updates, since Jan. 3, 2012, for the contained sections. If there  
are multiple sections, they are presented in section number order (original document order).

The most recent Classification Table update that we have noticed was Monday, August 27, 2012

An empty table indicates that we see no relevant changes listed in the classification tables. If you

suspect that our system may be missing something, please double-check with the Office of the Law  
Revision Counsel.

How To Use

Multiple entries for a section are listed most recent first, within the section.

The Session Year indicates which session of Congress was responsible for the changes classified.

The Congress number forms the first part of the Public Law number; each Congress has two  
sessions.

Abbreviations used in the Description of Change column:

An empty field implies a standard amendment.

"new" means a new section or new note, or all new text of an existing section or note.

"nt" means note.

"nt [tbl]" means note [table].

"prec" means preceding.

"fr" means a transfer from another section.

"to" means a transfer to another section.

"omitted" means the section is omitted.

"repealed" means the section is repealed.

"nt ed change" and "ed change" - See the Editorial Classification Change Table [pdf].

The Public Law field is linked to the development of the law in the Thomas system at the Library of Congress.

The Statutes at Large field is linked to the text of the law, in the context of its volume of the Statutes at Large, at the Government Printing Office. Please note that it takes a while for these pages to get posted, so for very recent legislation, you need to look at the "enrolled" version at the Thomas site. The Statutes at Large references have been rendered in the format used as page numbers in the Public Law web pages to which we link, to facilitate copy-paste into browser "find on this (web) page" tools. We are still working on a more direct link facility.

For serious comparison work, we suggest copying all or a portion of the Public Law text into your favorite text editor, for convenient content traversal and window control.

Sections with change type "new" are a special case, still under development. All are now listed, at the title level only.

You will find that occasionally a specific update you notice in a Public Law listed in a classification table will already have made it into the Code. We assume this is an artifact of the LRC edit process.

The LII does not edit the LRC content.

top General Reference

Refer to the LRC (Law Revision Council) for explanations about the US Code from the folks who put it all together.

You can look for information about what it is and is not, which titles are positive law, the schedule of Supplements, etc. Under download you can find the source data we use here (GPO locator files), as well as, PDF files that look just like the paper books (these may be rather large).

Refer to the Thomas site for changes that have not yet made it into the classification tables.

18 USC Description of Change Session Year Public Law Statutes at Large

18 USC Description of Change Session Year Public Law Statutes at Large

Â§ 2516 2012 112-127 [Sec.] 4 126 Stat. 371

From: wellsdannyw@aol.com

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 6:21 PM

To: fccinfo@fcc.gov

Cc: International Criminal Court International criminal court

Subject: Fw: SEE attachment to the FCC Complaint

the following documents are to be included in this protocol

Express Mail EG981021731US

post office mail 057872-9550,,receipt # 088059

post office mail transaction # 652,,,23-903180743

post office mail 057872-9550,,receipt # 096102

post office mail 057872-9550,,receipt #100180

check number 121000248,, 06/15/2012,,000000000001333,,4041203824,,960005586,,

US Mail 70113500000012707127,,

,,70112000000093691962,,,70111570000098284913,,,70102780000035905027,,,701018700002445

57524,,70102780000221010078,,70101870000193997099,,70113500000091792892,,70102780000

035913084,,70101870000244558866,,

70111150000194248628,,70112970000438827957,,,70112970000438827933,,,7011350000001270

7134,,70102780000035914159,,,70101870000193997105,,70111150000194257811,,701129700004

38827919,,70112970000438827926,,

70112970000438827964,,RE231550140US,,70111570000280222051,,,EG427374775US,,(,Transact

ion 599,,,23-903180719 receipt 000638),,,transaction 279,,23-903180719 receipt 000301,,((account #

1042 Approval 245442 transaction 46,23-903180701,,,,(9050399980544850290-

0097))((((((9050399980544850290-0098))))))70112000000228946141,,,,(9050199980544850291-

0096) Approval 723152,,,,,,,

70112000000093691962,,,,(9050399980544850290-0092))((((((9134299980581020250-

0094))))((Account 1042,Approval 766110,transaction 178,receipt

003406))((((((9050399980544850290-094))))))70101870000244560692,,,,,,

From: wellsdannyyw@aol.com

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:01 PM

To: fccinfo@fcc.gov

Cc: Supreme Court Supreme Court

Subject: SEE attachment to the FCC Complaint

Confirmation

FCC Submission Confirmation: 2000F

Acknowledgement of Submission from Danny Wells on 09/20/2012, reference number 12-C00426447.

Thank you for your information. The FCC will contact you if additional information is required. Please keep this information for future reference.

ATTENTION: When submitting additional information using this FCC Submission Confirmation sheet, please attach only one unsolicited fax advertisement (or multiple advertisements from the same



sender) that matches your complaint number and carrier company named in this complaint. DO NOT include multiple unsolicited faxes from different senders with this unique case number. Your complaint is subject to be rejected, if more than one unsolicited fax advertisement from different senders accompanies this FCC Submission Confirmation sheet.

Please use this page as a Fax Cover Sheet when faxing additional details to the FCC at (866) 418-0232.

FCC Home Search RSS Updates E-Filing Initiatives For Consumers Find People

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

More FCC Contact Information...

Phone: 1-888-CALL-FCC (1-888-225-5322)

TTY: 1-888-TELL-FCC (1-888-835-5322)

Fax: 1-866-418-0232

E-mail: [fccinfo@fcc.gov](mailto:fccinfo@fcc.gov)

- Privacy Policy

- Website Policies & Notices

- Required Browser Plug-ins

- Freedom of Information Act

To the Federal Communications Commission

see 47 USC 151, 154,,18 USC 1341,,,18 USC 666,,§& CFR 54.8,,47 CFR 0.111 or 6.111,,22 FCC Rcd,,

The above is Jurisdiction for the FCC to

Approach all persons and parties to determine if a letter was mailed to me,,

All persons and parties are to mail to me a letter regarding the communications I have made every communication was to have a letter sent to me,

about the documents e-mailed or FAXED .

2) the parties are to OTHERWISE explain to the FCC why no letter was mailed through the United States Mail,

3) The mailing of a letter verifies the truth of what was written by me to SAID party.

4) An omission to send a letter is deception, fraud, embezzlement or theft.

5) Omission to send United States Mail prevent additional defendants being identified in this Major Crimes allegation.

6) A letter would state the position of the party or person and establish if the person or party intends to lie. Commit perjury, subordinate perjury, or commit some other crime example COMPOUNDING A CRIME, Accessory after the fact,

7) Identifying additional defendants is the purpose of the FCC being complained to in this complaint, and from the FCC to establish an illegal intent of any kind in not sending a letter through the United States Mail,, Use of the Mail involves criminal authority to prosecute.

The following is the JUSTIFICATION FOR THE FOREIGN COURT TO HAVE at the least sent a letter,, a Letter is required , because a letter means the THE COMMUNICATION SENT WAS RECEIVED, and or endorsed or denied...then their PETITIONER WOULD KNOW HOW TO

PROCEED FROM THERE AND third parties would know they are CULPABLE, INCORRECT, LIABLE, owe damages, in contempt of court, committing perjury or subordinating perjury, committed a criminal act, liable in a foreign country, subject to the ATCA, violation of a Treaty, etc. ( letters have meaning) no letter has to be explained to the IJC for the Supreme Court of the United States of America.

Because the Supreme Court of a country spoke,, US Appellate Courts spoke, and silence by a foreign COURT was disrespect,, it painted a light that defendants and criminals American government, American employees, state employees could do anything...COURTS when right have to always agree....the origin of this litigation is from the SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY. Criminal activity has operated to degenerate the COURT ORDERS from the Supreme COURTS of the United States, and of the Republic of Turkey,, so erosion that the Petitioner has to have foreign LAW ENFORCEMENT TO enforce the COURT ORDERS of both.

JURISDICTION IS AT, Defense Trade Cooperation Treaty, 1966 U.S.-Thai Treaty of Amity, Patent Cooperation Treaty, Torrijos-Carter Treaties, Plaza Accord, 1986 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or Between International Organizations, Oslo Accords, United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, General Agreement on Trade in Services, WIPO Copyright Treaty, Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Patient Law Treaty, Convention on Cybercrime, Executive Order 588,582,563,573, Treaty # 99-21 of 10 April 1986, Bilateral Investment Treaty, Treaty of Friendship and Commerce, Social Securities Treaties/Agreements ( applied to the East Coast and the North of the United States of America) Croatia Bilateral Investment Treaty, Croatia Double Tax Treaty, Croatia Accession Treaty, Croatia Extradition Treaty, Croatia Extradition Treaty( International Criminal Court,]

JURISDICTION IS AT, Defense Trade Cooperation Treaty, 1966 U.S.-Thai Treaty of Amity, Patent Cooperation Treaty, Torrijos-Carter Treaties, Plaza Accord, 1986 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties between States and International Organizations or Between International Organizations, Oslo Accords, United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, General Agreement on Trade in Services, WIPO Copyright Treaty, Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Patient Law Treaty, Convention on Cybercrime, Executive Order 588,582,563,573, Treaty # 99-21 of 10 April 1986, Bilateral Investment Treaty, Treaty of Friendship and Commerce, Social Securities Treaties/Agreements ( applied to the East Coast and the North of the United States of America) Croatia Bilateral Investment Treaty, Croatia Double Tax Treaty, Croatia Accession Treaty, Croatia Extradition Treaty, Croatia Extradition Treaty( International Criminal Court,]

JURISDICTION IS AT, THE FCC records of the parties - are CONSIDERED an instrument of a crime,, until Misprison of a Felony, Compounding a Crime, Obstruction of Justice, Perjury, Subordination of Perjury, Fraud, Embezzlement, Real Estate Fraud, Destruction of Documents, Shredding of Documents, United States Securities and Exchange Commission Violations, NYSE Violations, AMEX Violations, TSE Violations, IMF Violations, Banking Commission Violations, 18 United States Code 2511 Violations, 5 United States Code Violation, The Judiciary Act of 1789, 49 United States Code 30903, 31 United States Code 3729-3733 as filed by Danny M. Wells with the

United States Internal Revenue Service, 18 UNITED STATES CODE 1621,  
JURISDICTION IS AT, Cal Penal Code Chapter 5 ,, Cal Code Civil Procedure 377 , Cal Civ Code 47  
,, Cal Penal Code 118 ,Cal Civ Code sec 52 , 18 USC 1343,1341 ,18 USC 6004 , 18 USC 6002 ,  
USAM 9-23000, 18 United States Code 1505, 18 United States Code 1951, 43 United States  
Codeâ€¦, 16 United States Code 47, 18 United States Code 7, 28 United States Code 1350, 28  
United States Code 2101, 28 United States Code 1651, 18 United States Code 6004, 18 United  
States Code 6002, 28 United States Code 1361, 17 United States Code 512, 18 United States Code  
1028, The Patriot Act, 18 United States Code 1030, Violations of the Neutrality Act , Invasion of the  
TRUST FUND OF DANNY M. WELLS , VIOLATION OF THE HAUGE CONFERENCE Articles 1-26,  
18 USC 2511,(Rule 609 court rule)

JURISDICTON IS AT, (e) THE investigative agency ( Interpole Republic of Turkey, Interpole  
Republic of Italy, Interpole Hellinistic Republic of Greece, Interpole Islamic Republic of Syria,  
Interpole . Kingdom of Morrocco, Delphi of the Hellinistic Republic of Greece, Interpole of the People  
Republic of Mongolia, Interpole of Paraguay, Interpole of Panama, Interpole of Bolivia, Interpole of  
Mexico, Interpole of Spain, Interpole of Tunis Carthage,

THESE agencies are to contact the Federal Communications Commission for this information and to  
investigate to make a FINDING of a Major Crimes Act Violation, and to take all the discovered  
information and to pool it for ALL the Jurisdiction and Administrative Agencies Danny M. Wells had  
filed in . The Discovered information is to be FILED in the US Courts, and Foreign Courts and the  
Administrative Agencies that Danny M. Wells has filed in.

(f) The agencies of - (e)- are to file a COMPLAINT in the International Court of Justice FOR an  
ORDER to issue where in the US COURTS are to RULE on the Petitions and the MOTIONS and to  
communicate to Danny M. Wells, and parties contacted are to notify Danny M. Wells of here  
investigations in light of the investigations by the agencies in --AND TO OBTAIN THE  
COMMUNICATION FROM THE IJC ,and INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT as to .why their has  
not been any communications from the following ( JURISDICTIONS)

United States Senate Committee on Banking

Motion to Deem Admittted Allegations

Complaint For a Disciplinary Committee

Petition to the United States Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit Appellate Court

Venerable Writ before the supreme Court of the United States of America

Petiton to the Supreme Court of the Republic of Turkey, and United States Senate ( jurisdiction over  
the US Senate)

Petition to the US Congress

Motion to Dismiss Criminal Charges filed in 1LG05307

Motion for Writ of Mandamus Criminal case No. # 1LG05307

Motion to Merger CV-11-06279 VBF(JCGx) ,31096785,1CPO2186,,1LG05307

Motion for Joiner CV-11-06279 VBF(JCGx)

Motion under Title 15 Chapter 34,,, CV-11-06279 VBF (JCGx)

7th Circuit Motion for Habeas Corpus filed in 11-3800

9th circuit case Motion for Removal of CV-11-06279 VBF (JCGx),,note Court orders from 7th circuit,, and no answer filed by the DEFENDANT, and Orders from US District Court stating the CV-11-06279 VBF ( JCGx) was closed.. and almost one year ago the US District Court wrote that order, about motioning to come back into court,, and no answer filed by the Defendant US Department of the Interior. ( that is so disrespect to a litigant it invalidates the Court) I am a pro per understand unless you want to grant ,me a license to practice law in the state of california. hold some one to the standard of a Lawyer, then do not grant the license,, what is all of that about?

Motion in the US Court of international Trade Deem Admitted the allegations in the Motions and Petitions FRCP 8,

Motion to Merge US Senate Motion and the US Senate with the Foreign Supreme Courts Filed in. Motion for an Audit of the Filings in all Courts to be conducted by the Hague Conference, for the filings in the foreign courts to be in one depository.( THE DISPOSED DOCUMENTS OF THE INTERNATIONAL JUSTICE COURT.

Motion FILED WITH THE INTERNATIONAL JUSTICE COURT,, AND THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION to AUDIT all the filings to Determine if evidence has been generated by Foreign COURTS

Motion filed with the International Criminal Court for Criminal Prosecution under the theory that IMMUNITY FROM PROSECUTION doctrine of the American Court System does not apply to the CRIMINAL SUSPECTS prosecutable under the American Major Crimes Statutes.

Motion Filed with the International Justice Court and World Trade Organization for an Audit under the ( Trade Compact) and Treaties signed by various Countries.

Application Filed with the International Criminal Court about the \$2,811,041.00 Dollars the United Parcel Service would not send me. The \$ 2,811,041.00 Dollars is a settlement from the United Nations, Mr Frank Ponce, Mr David Robinson of UPS, see Fax Message to 1-202-663-3636,,17 Sept 2012,,

Merit System Protection Board Filing sent to San Francisco California ( 51672) user ID #

Motion to the US Court of International Trade for an Audit of all the Cases filed

Motion Filed in the US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces INTERPLEADER FILED

Motion filed with the World Trade Organization Under 18 United States Code 2511

Supreme Court of the Republic of Turkey Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50 MOTION FILED

Merit System Protection Board Motion under the False Claims Act San Francisco California office

ALL THE MOTIONS FILED IN THE US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CV-06279 VBF (JCGx)

COMPLAINT FILED WITH THE CALIFORNIA STATE PERSONNEL BOARD, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE, RAIL ROAD RETIREMENT BOARD. National Labor Relations Board

MOTION FOR AN EXTRA JUDICIAL PROCEEDING IN THE SUPREME COURTS OF Iceland

Bolivia Mongolia Sicily Saudi Arabia Morocco Mexico Notify the embassies in Washington DC and

California that the document is in the possession of America on line , and the United States Air Force, as well as the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation and is to be delivered to the Supreme Court of the United States of America for an EXTRA JUDICIAL ORDER,, To the Supreme Court of the United States of America see 10FE6236-1599F7C2 FILE ON MY LAP TOP Computer.

To the State of Illinois Natural Resources Board the FOIA request ( and Civil ORDERS of Damages due to Crimes Against Humanity upon Danny M. Wells by the State of Illinois( reference is e-mail of Danny M. Wells dated 9/17/2012 to 9/18/2012 from wellsdannyw@aol.com, complaints to the Office of Personnel Management, California OAH, OAL, Cal Pers, United States Election Board,

Veterans Case,,, IL-2010-008-VPH

Complaint sent to the United States Department of the Inspector General 950 Pennsylvania Ave Washington DC,, e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com,,,,and US Mail under EG264757102US California Department of Corporations Complaint by Danny M. Wells

Office of Special Counsel complaint Hatch Act Violation File No. HA 12-3290

Office of Special Council Government Code Complaint Cal Government Code chapter 6 ,,12955, 12955.1,,12955.7,,

Supreme Court of Republic of Turkey Motion to Appoint Special Master

10 July 2012 Faxed Complaint to the CaLIFORNIA Department of Real Estate 1-619-525-4375,,from BROE9J935650,,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration ( appearance requested made by Danny M. Wells (12-23971) ) to a California SPB hearing Danny M. Wells called for..

Supreme Court Republic of Turkey Motion to Change Venue, and Impeachment of Judge Fairbanks The ( Office of Administrative Courts) and International Court of Justice Complaint EMC1K1

International Court of Justice, US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES , motion for a Subpoena

Petition to the Supreme Court of the United States of America to Supplant the United States Congress Motion to the Ministry of Justice Republic of Turkey for issuance of Criminal warrants for Arrest,

Petition for Relife to the United States Senate Judiciary Committee

Supreme Court of the Republic of Turkey for the taking of Evidence Abroad

Complaints Filed with Adult Protective Services 1-510-577-5615,,from BROE9J935650

Complaints sent to the American Bar Association, the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the NYSE, the TSE,

Writ of execution to the Criminal Court of Los Angeles County 1LG05307

Complaints to the Federal Trade Commission

Complaint to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing

Motion case # 1LG05307 GOVERNMENT CODE Â§ 13952-13974

REPORT OF THE ESTATE FILED IN 1LG05307, AND 1CPO2186,, title 15 ch 34

Foregin Claims Settlement Commission COMPLAINT (3) of them, by Danny M. Wells

Complaint filed with the California Department of Consumer Affairs

Complaint filed with Lloyds of London ( about 7/8/2011) e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com  
The code to the Sea Castle Apartments 1725 Ocean Front Walk Santa Monica Ca , was stolen by  
George Bush , this was the e-mailed complaint, and evidence of interference with everything on my  
computer from Mel Gibson, George Bush , and Sandra Bullock, the code is how people at Capitol Area  
Vocational Center were to identify me, CAVC is where the Sea Castle Building was Drawn and  
Designed by me,,CAVC Springfield Illinois, Now check and see who visited CAVC from 1978 to 1980,  
and who called CAVC, and who I called from CAVC, and what was said,,, then tell me who owns the  
Sea Castle Apartments 1725 Ocean Front Walk Santa Monica California.  
EXPORTANIOUS MOTION FILED IN THE SUPEREM COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA,  
COMPLAINT OF MALICIOUS PROSECUTION SENT TO Phillip Alios,, and the ACLU, and University  
of Southern California  
US District Court Motion for an AUDIT,,hearing 7 May 2012,,  
Motions , Petition for Preliminary Injunction , Filed In all COURTS,  
complaint to the OIG SSA, 3/8/2012,,1-202-616-9881,,1-410-965-9248,,1-202-772-9265  
2/27/2012 e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com,, 2.65 TRILLION DOLLARS AUTHORIZED TO BE  
TRANSFERRED,  
Motion for Reconsideration Filed in the US Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit Appellate Court,  
4/16/2012 e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com  
4/11/2012 e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com  
ExParte Application filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the 2ND Circuit APPELLATE  
Court  
Motion for reconsideration filed with the US Court of International Trade  
US Court of Appeals for the 7th circuit Petition for an Extraordinary Writ  
Propounded Interrogatories in the USDC, Motion to Compel Answer in USDC, and US Courts of  
Appeal, and Supreme Court of the United States of America, Supreme Court of the Republic of  
Turkey, International Justice Court,  
Complaint to Fidelity Investments,, e-mailed to ERSC@mcpsmd.org  
11/29/2011 e-mail from wellsdannyw@aol.com  
e-mail from NNabavi@nyx.com sent 23 Nov 2011 to wellsdannyw@aol.com  
e-mail from crs@nyx.com to wellsdannyw@aol.com,, 23 Nov 2011  
Petition for JOINER filed in the following Supreme Courts SYRIA  
FINNLAND DENMARK ROMANIA LOAS SICILY SARDINIA ICELAND  
(THE AMERICA INDIAN NATION) (Auckland near GREENLAND, Auckland Island)  
The Republic of Turkey Iraqi Paraguay Italy  
e-mail 11/4/2011 from wellsdannyw@aol.com  
RESTRAINING ORDER FILED FOR Criminal Case # CPO2186  
e-mail to ( customer.email@fidelity.com )from (wellsdannyw@aol.com)  
International Justice Court Document 5HXZUF

GEICO Case # 2192652

PACER complaint,, from (pacermail@psc.uscourts.gov) to wellsdannyyw@aol.com 13 June 2011,,, Abstract Writ of Execution Filed in the Supreme Court of the United States of America Exportanious or Miscelanious Reiteration of the Record ( filed in the 9th ,7th, 2ND US Court of Appeal, and the Supreme Court of the United States of America  
e-mail of 2/26/2012

e-mail 3/17/2012

from (dfeh contact cener@askwebercenter.com) 16 March 2012,,Judy Miller Distrct Administrator,, and Carpenter,Tracee@DFEH,, subject Complaint is 812998264782556,  
Subpoena Duec Tectum , filed in 9th 7th and 2ND US Court Appeal

MOTIONS to determin Perjury and Subordination of Perjury

1) why do I have to Fax all the motions ,

2) do I have to litigated for 2 years in the 9th, 7th, and 2ND US Courts of Appeal, Supreme Court of the United States of America, the Hauge Conferance, the International Justice Court, 20 separate Forgein Supreme Courts, the International Criminal Court, the US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, the US Court of International Trade,

3) All these MOTIONS filed in all the Court, and administrative complaints are all going to be investigated by Deutsche Bank Trust Company America, then my DBTCA staff of Austria are going to sue individuals,, and charge the United States Goverment every penny to sue them, understatnd , every penney is going to be charged to the US Goverment for My statff of DBTC doing your job.

4) I want these peole tested , because this is the behavior of a TROGLADITE, AND I WANT TO KNOW IF THEY ARE TROGLADITIC.

5) These MOTIONS took two years to write, and no ORDER from the COURT was sent where in the problem was solved, the record is repleat with and intent to defy the Supreme Court of the United States of America. The Original Defendant wanted to steal the property in the COURT ORDER, and they numbered about 250,000 people in 1972,,250,000 people ran a CON GAME on Danny M. Wells and any judicial AUTHORITY, or supervison to judicial authority, and courupted officials through EXTORTION and Blackmail,,

and they are the crimes that are to be the target crimes PERSON INVOLVED WITH PROCESSING AND KNOWLEDGE OF THESE MOTIONS , COMPLANTS, PETITIONS, DECLARATIONS, E-MAILS AND associated with these defendants or COMPANIES are the CRIMES to identify as the reason a person will not preform, a person is hostile, a person has lied or committed perjury, a person as committed an act that delays, and person has hidden something, etc.

This is the index to identify SUSPECTS, and is the complaint to investigate the NONFESANCE of these parties,

and see Rule 23.1. Derivative Actions

a) Prerequisites. This rule applies when one or more shareholders or members of a corporation or an unincorporated association bring a derivative action to enforce a right that the corporation or association may properly assert but has failed to enforce.



I Danny M. Wells swear and affirm under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true,  
9/20/2012

I have a complaint filed and I sent e-mail today the documents are to be served on the Supreme Courts of the Several states of the United States ,,,